	,		
	•		
1	LATHAM & WATKINS LLP		
2	Robert E. Sims (Ca. Bar No. 116680) bob.sims@lw.com		
3	Holly J. Tate (Ca. Bar No. 237561) holly.tate@lw.com		
4	505 Montgomery Street, Suite 2000 San Francisco, California 94111-2562		
5	Telephone: (415) 391-0600 Facsimile: (415) 395-8095		
6	Attorneys for Defendant JOANNE FOUNTAINE		
7	JOHN CONTINUE		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION		
10			
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Case No. CR-07-00006-SBA	
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO CONTINUE PRETRIAL AND TRIAL	
13	V.	DATES	
14	JOANNE FOUNTAINE,	Hon. Saundra B. Armstrong	
15	Defendant.		
16			
17			
18			
19 20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
KINS:		STIPLUATION AND ORDER Case No. CR-07-00006 SBA	

LATHAM&WATKIN ATTORNEYS AT LAW SAN FRANCISCO

STIPLUATION AND ORDER Case No. CR-07-00006 SBA

1

STIPULATION

2

The parties, by and through undersigned counsel, hereby agree and stipulate as

3

follows:

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The parties request that the trial currently set to begin on September 8, 2008 at 8:30 a.m. be continued to October 6, 2008 at 8:30 a.m. and that the pretrial conference currently set for September 2, 2008 at 11:00 a.m. be continued to September 30, 2008 at 11:00 a.m. The parties also request that the deadlines contained in the Court's Order of June 18, 2008 be continued to September 9, 2008 for the pretrial preparation; September 16, 2008 for motions in limine and objections to evidence; and September 23, 2008 for responses to motions in limine and objections to evidence. The parties further request that, based on the facts provided herein, time be excluded under 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(8)(A) and (B)(iv).

On August 4, 2008, Assistant United States Attorneys Andrew S. Huang and Chinhayi J. Coleman were assigned to this case. (Substitution of counsel was filed on August 12, 2008.) Since the time new prosecutors were assigned to the case, the parties have renewed discussions regarding a potential resolution of the case by plea agreements. Defense counsel are conducting investigation and review of the discovery materials in order to effectively advise the defendants, including advice regarding any potential dispositions. The parties are conducting additional investigation to achieve agreement upon key terms and conditions of any potential plea agreements.

The government has also indicated that it has additional discovery for the defense and is currently in the process of preparing such discovery. Defense counsel will require additional time to review the discovery and investigate the facts and issues presented therein.

Finally, the government has communicated its intent to retain a handwriting expert and will promptly provide Rule 16 disclosures. However, handwriting exemplars from the defendants have not been collected yet to begin the process. In turn, the defense will require additional time to review the results of any analyses and to retain experts, if necessary.

For the foregoing reasons, the parties stipulate and agree that the ends of justice served by a continuance of the pretrial conference to September 30, 2008 at 11:00 a.m. and the 08/13/2008 14:47

5102372898

LAW OFFICE

PAGE 04

1	commencement of trial to October 6, 2008 at	8:30 a.m. outweigh the best interests of the public	
2	and the defendants in a speedy trial because the failure to grant such a continuance would.		
3 unreasonably deny the government and defendants continuity of counsel and unreasonably			
4			
5	of due diligence.		
. 6	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
7	Dated: August 13, 2008	LATHAM & WATKINS LLP	
8		. 11	
9	·	Holly Nate	
10		Attorneys for Defendant JOANNE FOUNTAINE	
11	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	•	
12	Dated: August 13_, 2008	A INDA TAN A SECOND FOR	
13	Dated: Aligust 12, 2008	LINDA FULLERTON, ESQ.	
14		By Linda Fuller	
15	,	Linda Fullerton Attorneys for Defendant ANTHONY TIMMONS	
16	·	ANTHONI TIMMONS	
17	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
18	Dated: August 13, 2008	JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO United States Attorney	
19		I A (1)	
20		By M S Andrew Huang	
21.		Assistant United States Attorney	
22			
23		·	
24			
25		•	
26	·		
27		· ,	
28			
ATTORNEYS AT LAW SAN PRANCINCO		STIPULATION AND ORDER Case No. CR-07-00006 SBA	

1 [PROPOSED] ORDER Based on the reasons provided in the stipulation of the parties above, the Court 2 3 hereby GRANTS the parties' request to continue the pretrial conference to September 30, 2008 at 11:00 a.m. and commencement of trial to October 6, 2008 at 8:30 a.m. The Court hereby 4 FINDS that the continuances outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a 5 speedy trial because the failure to grant such a continuance would unreasonably deny the parties 6 continuity of counsel and unreasonably deny counsel for the parties the reasonable time 7 necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 8 Based on these findings, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that time is excluded from 9 the date of this Order to October 6, 2008 under the Speedy Trial Act pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 10 3161(h)(8)(A) and (B)(iv). A revised Order for Pretrial Preparation resetting pretrial preparation 11 and motions deadlines will be issued separately. 12 13 14 DATED: 15 THE HONORABLE SAUNDRA B. ARMISTRONG UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28